# Q1 Overall, how did this CLE meet your expectations? Was it...

Answered: 6    Skipped: 0



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|---|---|---|
| A lot better than expected | 0.00% | 0 |
| Better than expected | 16.67% | 1 |
| About what I expected | 50.00% | 3 |
| Worse than expected | 33.33% | 2 |
| A lot worse than expected | 0.00% | 0 |
| TOTAL | | 6 |

| # | BELOW, PLEASE ENTER ANY OBSERVATIONS REGARDING SPECIFIC SESSIONS AT THE CLE: | DATE |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | The first presenter has experience that suggests she is knowledgeable about mediation in NM. Her handouts/slides were good, but the oral presentation and Q and A were not up to the standards I have come to expect from NMCLE, and I believe 90 % of the bar would agree with this assessment. The second presenter was more interested in doing a commercial for his construction dispute aribtration and mediation business than sharing his accumulated wisdom about arbitrations and mediations. Lawyers often present at CLE's with the tacit objective of developing new business by demonstating subect matter prowess, but the second presenter unfortunately missed his opportunity to impress by demonstrating his command of the subject matter. | 8/11/2017 2:41 PM |

## Q2 Was this CLE relevant to your practice area?

Answered: 6     Skipped: 0



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|---|---|---|
| Yes | 100.00% | 6 |
| No | 0.00% | 0 |
| TOTAL | | 6 |

## Q3 Why wasn't this CLE relevant to your practice area?

Answered: 0    Skipped: 6

| # | RESPONSES | DATE |
|---|-----------|------|
|   | There are no responses. | |

# Q4 How useful was the content presented?

Answered: 6     Skipped: 0



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|---|---|---|
| Extremely useful | 0.00% | 0 |
| Very useful | 33.33% | 2 |
| Somewhat useful | 50.00% | 3 |
| Not so useful | 0.00% | 0 |
| Not at all useful | 16.67% | 1 |
| TOTAL | | 6 |

| # | IN WHAT WAY? | DATE |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | For the reasons stated above. | 8/11/2017 2:41 PM |
| 2 | Ms Torrez always has current best practices to share. | 8/4/2017 12:23 PM |

# Q5 Did the content of this session meet the stated learning objectives?

Answered: 6    Skipped: 0



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|---|---|---|
| Yes | 66.67% | 4 |
| No | 33.33% | 2 |
| TOTAL | | 6 |

## Q6 In what way did this CLE fail to meet your learning goals?

Answered: 2    Skipped: 4

| # | RESPONSES | DATE |
|---|-----------|------|
| 1 | Ethical Approach could have been longer and leave out the second part which seemed like an advertisement for the presenter's business. I've heard him before and he has a singular approach. Doesn't seem to understand mediation - only arbitration and $$ for him. | 8/17/2017 4:26 PM |
| 2 | Presenter inability to field and answer questions that, from a lawyers perspective, flow logically from the material being presented. And ethics presenter's failure to address ethical issues in the field of mediation. | 8/11/2017 2:44 PM |

# Q7 Please rate the effectiveness of each faculty member:

Answered: 5     Skipped: 1



| | EXTREMELY EFFECTIVE | VERY EFFECTIVE | SOMEWHAT EFFECTIVE | NOT SO EFFECTIVE | NOT AT ALL EFFECTIVE | N/A | TOTAL | WEIGHTED AVERAGE |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Jocelyn M. Torres | 0.00%<br>0 | 40.00%<br>2 | 40.00%<br>2 | 20.00%<br>1 | 0.00%<br>0 | 0.00%<br>0 | 5 | 3.20 |
| Peter G. Merrill | 0.00%<br>0 | 40.00%<br>2 | 20.00%<br>1 | 20.00%<br>1 | 0.00%<br>0 | 20.00%<br>1 | 5 | 3.25 |

| # | COMMENTS: | DATE |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | I think I have said enough | 8/11/2017 2:52 PM |
| 2 | nicely done. good information in a short time framee | 8/4/2017 12:24 PM |

# Q8 How would you like to receive information about future CLE programs?

Answered: 4     Skipped: 2



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|---|---|---|
| Bar Bulletin CLE Planner (color insert) | 0.00% | 0 |
| CLE Website | 0.00% | 0 |
| Bar Bulletin CLE ad | 0.00% | 0 |
| State Bar E-news (weekly e-newsletter) | 50.00% | 2 |
| Bar Bulletin Calendar | 25.00% | 1 |
| E-mail from State Bar | 75.00% | 3 |
| Facebook | 0.00% | 0 |
| Twitter | 0.00% | 0 |
| Total Respondents: 4 | | |

| # | OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY) | DATE |
|---|---|---|
| | There are no responses. | |

## Q9 In what ways can the Center for Legal Education improve your learning experience?

Answered: 2     Skipped: 4

| # | RESPONSES | DATE |
|---|-----------|------|
| 1 | The Center does a good job. | 8/11/2017 2:52 PM |
| 2 | Knowledgeable and experienced speakers | 8/7/2017 4:47 PM |

# Q10 What other topics and presenters would you like to see at future CLE programs?

Answered: 2    Skipped: 4

| # | RESPONSES | DATE |
|---|-----------|------|
| 1 | ADR | 8/11/2017 2:52 PM |
| 2 | More ADR | 8/7/2017 4:47 PM |

# Q11 I prefer the following types of learning methods (please see descriptions below):

Answered: 3    Skipped: 3



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|---|---|---|
| Lecture: university-style talk to a large audience, using visual aids | 33.33% | 1 |
| Large Group Discussion: open forum which allows audience to pose questions to presenter(s) | 33.33% | 1 |
| Small Group Discussion: breakout sessions where attendees form small groups moderated by faculty | 100.00% | 3 |
| Case Study: a lecture which centers around a specific case or cases relevant to the topic | 33.33% | 1 |
| Role Play: interactive, audience participation-based scenarios such as a mock trial | 0.00% | 0 |
| Total Respondents: 3 | | |

## Q12 The Center for Legal Education is an accredited course provider through the New Mexico State Bar Foundation. How likely are you to attend a future Center for Legal Education course?

Answered: 4     Skipped: 2



| ANSWER CHOICES | RESPONSES | |
|---|---|---|
| Extremely likely | 25.00% | 1 |
| Very likely | 25.00% | 1 |
| Somewhat likely | 50.00% | 2 |
| Not so likely | 0.00% | 0 |
| Not at all likely | 0.00% | 0 |
| TOTAL | | 4 |